

Message

From: Marcus Griswold [mgriswold@skeo.com]
Sent: 5/26/2015 3:56:21 PM
To: LEE, LILY [LEE.LILY@EPA.GOV]; Lane, Jackie [Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]; Miranda Maupin [mmaupin@skeo.com]
Subject: Hunters Point May 19th Community Concerns

Hi Lily and Jackie,

In advance of our call, I wanted to share a brief summary of the community concerns voiced at the very short hearing May 19th. I've embedded some of my thoughts on the comments (shown as letters below the numbered comments), but thought it might be useful to share these and discuss briefly on our call. This would be in addition to the agenda item on future meetings and strategies to collect/address community concerns.

1. Concerns regarding health issues in the area: i) Uncertain that workers and public are safe during current cleanup work (e.g. concern voiced that workers are removing materials early in the morning and dust is entering residential households), ii) Concerns about prior risk and exposure (e.g. concern voiced regarding police officers near site who have had health issues)
 - a. Health concerns, both historic and cumulative impacts, and those related to air quality (e.g. dust) were voiced by community members during the TANA process. As part of the TANA process, we recommended a workshop/meeting focused on community health, which could include a review and summary of previous health assessments and recommendations for additional assistance based on findings.
 - b. We could also share the link to the BAAQMD fact sheet on air pollution events and reporting.
2. Concerns that the north side of building 813 (note: written comments state building 819) has not been cleared due to asbestos and lead contamination. (Comments also [here online](#))
 - a. A similar concern was voiced during the November 17, 2014 community meeting, placed in "parking lot". What was decision then?
 - b. This concern could be incorporated into a community meeting on health impacts (see above) both before and after transfer. For instance we could 1) summarize San Francisco's article 31 on monitoring, 2) provide information on how health and safety is protected during lead and asbestos removal.
3. Concerns regarding effectiveness of existing contractors
 - a. This is perhaps out of the scope of EPA's work, however, we could provide information (if available) on the number health and safety violations by contractors, if any.

I look forward to the call.

Best,

Marcus

Marcus Griswold, PhD
Skeo Solutions
415-814-0393 x 299
mgriswold@skeo.com

